

“Section 3582(c)(2) authorizes a district court to modify a defendant's term of imprisonment when the defendant ‘is sentenced to a term of imprisonment based on a sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered by the Sentencing Commission.’” *United States v. Hood*, 556 F.3d 226, 231 (4th Cir. 2009)(quoting 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)) (emphasis in original). However, a reduction in a defendant's term of imprisonment is not authorized under § 3582(c)(2) if “the amendment does not have the effect of lowering the defendant's applicable guideline range because of the operation of another guideline or statutory provision ( e.g., a statutory mandatory minimum term of imprisonment)”.

Washington's motion is based on Amendment 750 to the United States Sentencing Guideline § 2D1.1(c). This amendment adjusts the base offense level assigned to each threshold quantity of cocaine base, or "crack cocaine," downward by two levels. U.S.S.G.App. C, Amend. 750 (Nov. 1, 2011) (succeeding the temporary emergency amendment, Amendment 748, enacted on November 1, 2010). Washington's sentence was based on the statutorily mandated minimum sentence under 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1)(B), not the United States Sentencing Guidelines. Accordingly, Amendment 750 does not lower Washington's applicable sentencing ranges. *See United States v. Hood*, 556 F.3d 226, 228 (4th Cir.2009) (affirming the district court's order concluding it did not have jurisdiction to reduce the defendants' sentences because the sentences were based on a statutory mandatory minimum, not the drug guidelines).

Washington is not entitled to a sentence reduction pursuant to § 3582(c)(2) because his current sentence of 60 months is not "based on a sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered by the Sentencing Commission," but rather is based on the statutory mandatory minimum sentence pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 841. *See United States v. Sykes*, 658 F.3d at 1140, 1146 (9th Cir.2011) ("A retroactive amendment to the Guidelines cannot reduce a sentence below the statutory minimum term."). Therefore, Washington's Motion for Modification of Sentence is **DENIED**.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/Timothy M. Cain  
United States District Judge

Greenville, South Carolina  
January 27, 2012

#### **NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL**

The Movant is hereby notified of the right to appeal this order pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.